# CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 1 4 2005

1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036-8299 Telephone 212.969.3000 Fax 212.969.2900

LOS ANGELES WASHINGTON BOSTON BOCA RATON NEWARK **NEW ORLEANS** PARIS

### PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

Date

December 14, 2005

Total Pages (Including Cover) 9

Client-Matter

49298-043

Fax Transmittal

From

Robert Mayer

Sender's Voice Number

212.969.3246

Sender's Room Number

Sender's Email Address

RMayer@proskauer.com

Main Fax Number

212.969.2900

Ta:

Examiner Jonathan P. Quellette

Fax No.:

571-273-8300

United States Patent & Trademark Office

Voice No.:

#### Message

In re the Application of:

Sundeep BHAN et al.

Application No.: 10/734,811

Filed: December 11, 2003

For: TARGETED INVITATION DELIVERY

Group Art Unit: 3629

Examiner: Ouellette, Jonathan P.

Confidentiality Note: This message is confidential and intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above. It may contain legally privileged material. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message, other than by such addressee(s), is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original to us at the

NOTICE OF APPEAL AND PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

address above. We will reimburse you for the cost of the telephone call and postage. Thank you.

### RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

DEC 1.3. Parent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it diaptays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM			Application Number	10/734,811							
			Filing Date	December	December 11, 2003						
			First Named Inventor	Sundeep E	ep Bhan						
			Art Unit	3629							
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name	Jonathan	UELLETTE						
Q			Attorney Docket Number	49298-043							
Total Number of Pages In This Submission   C											
Fee Transmittal Fo	m	Drawing(s)	CO (Check all that apply)	After Allowance Communication to Group							
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences							
Amendment / Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement  Certified Copy of Priority Documents Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or		Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD Remarks		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):  -Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review -Remarks in Support Of Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review (3 Pages)							
	SICA	IATURE OF ARRI	LICANT, ATTORNEY, O	RAGENT							
Firm Name	PROSKAUER RO		LIVATI, ATTOMICI, O								
Signature	PD5 Wayn										
Printed Name	ROBERT S. MAYER										
Date	December 14, 20		Reg. No.	38,544							
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING											
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimite transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.											
Signature	Mayor		<del></del>	<del>,</del> -							
Typed or printed name Robert S.		yer V	D:	ate	December 14, 2005						

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 57 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER TO \*7313\*49298043\*7 P.03

DEC 1 4 2005

PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it displays a valid OMB control number												
Effective on 12/08/2004.				Complete if Known								
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).				Application Number 10/734								
FEE TRANSMITTAL				Filing Date		Decen	3					
For FY 2005				First Named Inventor Sundeep Bhan			CETTE					
				Examiner Name				LETTE				
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27				Art Unit 3829								
TOTAL AMOUNT O	F PAYMENT	(\$) 310.00		Attorney Docket No. 49298-043								
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)												
Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify):												
Deposit Account Deposit Account Number:  Deposit Account Name:  For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)												
Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee												
Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) Credit any overpayments under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17												
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.												
FEE CALCULA												
1. BASIC FILING,				DCH EEEC	EVAL	AINI A TI	ON FEES					
	FIL	ING FEES Small Entity	954	RCH FEES Small Entity	EXA		mail Entity					
Application Type	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)		Fee (		Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)				
Utility	300	150	500 100	250 50	200 130		100 65	<del></del>				
Design Plant	200 200	100 100	300	150	160		80	<del></del>				
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600		300 -	<del></del>				
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0		0 -					
- 20 or HP = x Fee (								Small Entity Fee (\$) 25 100 180 pendent Claims Fee Paid (\$)				
	3 of HP ==	×	E.(\$)	Fee Paid (\$)								
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3  3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE  If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).  Total Sheets  - 100 =												
4. OTHER FEE(S)												
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)												
Other: Notice of Appeal=250.00; Petition for Extension of Time =60.00; 310.00												
SUBMITTED BY												
Signature Registration No. (Artomey/Agent) 3B,544 Telepho						Telephone (	one (212) 969-3246					
Name (Print/Type)	Robert S. Ma	yer					Date 12/14/	2005				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case.

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 14 2005

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

"Total of .

PTO/\$B/33 (07-05)

Approved for use through xx/xx/200x, OMB 0851-00xx
U.S. Petent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of mormation unless a displays a valid OMB control number. Docket Number (Optional) PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW 49298-043 ; 1 Filed I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the **Application Number** United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mall in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for December 11, 2003 10/734.811 Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] DECEMBER 14, 2005 First Named Inventor Sundep BHAN et al. Signature Examiner Art Unit Typed or printed Robert S. Mayer Jonathan P. QUELLETTE 3629 Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. Robert S. Mayer See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. Typed or printed name (Form PTO/SB/96) 38,544 attorney or agent of record. (212) 969-3246 Registration number Telephone number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. December 14, 2005 Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signalure is required, see below.

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.8. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual case. Any commends on the amount of time you require to complete this form endor suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450. Alexandrie, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

if you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

forms are submitted.

## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Application No. 10/734,811 Attorney Docket: 49298-043

DEC 1 4 2005

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Sundeep BHAN et al.

Application No.: 10/734,811

Filed: December 11, 2003

For: TARGETED INVITATION DELIVERY

Group Art Unit: 3629

Examiner: Ouellette, Jonathan P.

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# REMARKS IN SUPPORT OF PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Sir:

A Notice of Appeal and Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review are filed herewith, and consideration of the remarks contained herein is respectfully requested under the "New Pre-Appeal Brief Conference Pilot Program" described in the OG Notice dated July 12, 2005.

Although no additional fees are believed due in connection with this paper, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any required fees to Deposit Account No. 16-2500.

Application No. 10/734,811

The Advisory Action dated December 1, 2005, indicates that the amendments proposed in Applicant's Amendment After Final Rejection dated November 10, 2005, were <u>not</u> entered. Accordingly, the claims that are listed in Applicant's Amendment dated June 8, 2005, remain under consideration (i.e., claims 1-6, 8, 10-15, 17, and 18), and the Panel is referred to that paper for the complete text of the claims. Claims 1, 6, and 15 are independent.

All the claims stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as anticipated by WO 01/52106 A2 (Gal). However, Applicant maintains that Gal does not teach or suggest the limitation in claims 1, 6, and 15 that requires a multi-event invitation to be sent <u>via email</u>.

Pursuant to ¶ 4 of the July 12, 2005, OG Notice, which encourages Applicants "to refer to arguments already of record rather than repeating them in the request," Applicant asks the panel to reconsider the arguments in the Amendment dated June 8, 2005 at pages 7 and 8.

In response to those arguments, the § 102 rejection was repeated in the Final Office Action dated August 15, 2005. More specifically, despite the fact that the invitation in Gal "is dynamically constructed" "when a user goes to the web page for the user's invitations" (Gal at p. 5, lines 18-20), and despite the explicit teaching in Gal that email should not be used for the invitations (Gal at p. 6, lines 1-6), the Final Office Action asserted that Gal satisfied the "email" limitation in claims 1, 6, and 15.

This rejection was based on defining email as "electronic communication through a computer." (Final Office Action dated August 15, 2005, at p. 5, ¶15.) However, use of that definition in rejecting the claims confuses the broad genus (i.e., electronic communication through a computer) with a particular species within that genus (i.e., email). As a result, the rejection is overreaching in that it goes beyond the plain meaning of the term "email," and

Application No. 10/734,811

applies a much broader meaning to that word. See M.P.E.P. § 2111.01. More specifically, the genus of "electronic communication through a computer" contains many types of communications other than emails (e.g., instant messages, VOIP voice communications, intercomputer Ethernet links, etc.). Since the scope of the claims is explicitly limited to email and does not extend to all types of electronic communication through a computer, the § 102 rejection was improper because it rejected a claim to a particular species (i.e., email) based on the broad genus containing that species (i.e., electronic communication through a computer).

Since Gal does not teach or suggest sending the multi-event invitation via email, as explained above and in the Amendment dated June 8, 2005 at pages 7 and 8, Applicant submits that claim 1, 6, and 15 are patentable over Gal.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached by telephone at (212) 969-3246 or by facsimile at (212) 969-2900. Please direct all correspondence to Customer No. 21890 at the address provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

Date: December 14, 2005

By:

Robert S. Mayer

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 38,544

Proskauer Rose LLP
Patent Department
1585 Broadway
New York, NY 10036-8299
Tel. (212) 969-3246 (direct)
Fax (212) 969-2900